



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/672,405	09/26/2003	Vajid Husain Jafri	EXCAM-65020	9155	
7590 01/26/2007 ELLSWORTH R. ROSTON, ESQ. FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP HOWARD HUGHES CENTER DRIVE 6060 CENTER DRIVE, TENTH FLOOR			EXAMINER		
			ROBINSON BOYCE, AKIBA K		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES,			3628		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVE	RY MODE	
31 DAYS		01/26/2007	PA	PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Ar	lication No. Applicant(s)					
		10	0/672,405	JAFRI ET AL	JAFRI ET AL.			
		Ex	caminer	Art Unit				
		Ak	kiba K. Robinson-Boyce	3628				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears	s on the cover sheet v	with the correspondent	ce address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr o period for reply is specified above, the maximum st ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. latutory period will ap will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may a ply and will expire SIX (6) MO se the application to become A	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	f this communication.			
Status								
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 26 Sente	mher 2003	·				
2a)□	·				•			
3)	<u> </u>							
٥/١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	closed in accordance with the pract	ice dilaci Ex pi	aric quayic, 1000 C.	D. 11, 400 O.O. 210.	•			
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the	application.	•					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
-	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restrict	on and/or elec	tion requirement.					
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attach	*(c)							
Attachmen	·		4) 🗖 Intonio	Summary (PTO-413)				
	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)		o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) L. Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-13 and 20-22, drawn to tiling or modular adjacent displays, classified in class 345, subclass 1.3.
 - Claims 14-19, drawn to data processing system error or fault handling, classified in class 714, subclass 100.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination focuses on providing a visual display of travel information on adjacent monitors. The subcombination has separate utility such as determining whether itinerary information from a legacy server is complete.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in

Art Unit: 3628

accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. A telephone call was made to Ellsworth Roston on 12/11/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

Application/Control Number: 10/672,405

Art Unit: 3628

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Page 4

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Akiba K Robinson-Boyce whose telephone number is 571-272-6734. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hayes can be reached on 571-272-6708. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7238 [After final communications, labeled "Box AF"], 703-746-7239 [Official Communications], and 703-746-7150 [Informal/Draft Communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"].

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

A. R. B.

January 20, 2007